Te Mahere Wā-Roa Long Term Plan 2024-2034





Supporting information for Revenue and Financing Policy review

Funding Needs Analysis

Draft for consultation

ACTIVITY: Rotorua Catchments

ACTIVITY ANALYSIS	ACTIVITY ANALYSIS											
Description		Distribution of benefits		Period of Benefit	Exacerbators	Separate Funding						
Describe key parts of the activity: Note any sub activities that may require separate funding	Who benefits:Whole communityIdentifiable partIndividuals	Who are they: • Location • Land use • Specific users	 How much do they benefit: High, medium, low How is benefit / access distributed: Evenly vs variably (on what basis) 	 When do the benefits occur (vs investment): Short term (same year) Recurring (ongoing every year) Long term (later years) 	 Who else has created the need: Who Action / inaction Can they be charged Will it change their behaviour 	Should the activity be funded separately: Cost / benefit Transparency Accountability						
Catchment management Sustainable land use change Biodiversity outcomes	Whole community: Yes Identifiable part: Yes Individuals: No	Everyone in the region Local groups -	High Medium -	Long term -	Historical and intergenerational activities have caused environmental damage in the Rotorua catchment area	No reason to fund separately						

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES											
Community Outcomes and Goals the Activity contributes to			Suitable Operating Expenditure Funding Sources by Outcome and Goal								
				General Funds		Other					
			General Rates		Interests and dividends from	Targete	Targeted Rates		Grants and Subsidies		
				UAGC	investment	Targeted on LV/CV	Flat Targeted	Charges	Subsidies		
Healthy Environment	The region's diverse range of physica	environments and natural ecosystems are in a healthy state	Likely	Likely	Likely	Possible	Possible	Likely	Likely		
Healthy Environment Enabling te mana o te wai through healthy and improving waterways and their ecosystem			Likely	Likely	Likely	Possible	Possible	Likely	Likely		
Te Ara Poutama Partner with Māori to enhance delivery and share decision making			Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Possible		
Activity funding needs analysis indicator Grants and subsidies, General Funds		Grants and subsidies, General Funds									

FUNDING POLICY	FUNDING POLICY											
		2024-34 draft LTP Funding Sources (average over 10 years)	2024-34 draft Policy settings range	2021-2031 LTP Policy settings rang	e	Reason for change						
Operating Expenditure	Rotorua Catchments	Staged implementation* towards a funding split by 2027/28 of: 15% Grants and subsidies 85% General Funds	0% - 20% Grants and Subsidies 80% - 100% General Funds (staged implementation*) 0-20% Reserves	Catchment general 0% - 20% Grants and Subsidies 40% - 60% Targeted Rates 40% - 60% General Funds	Sustainable water 40% - 60% Grants and Subsidies 0% - 20% Targeted Rates 0% - 20% General Funds	Change from targeted rates to general rates as main benefits are for the whole catchment ecosystem (including the Kaituna and Tarawera rivers) and the regional community. Causes are historical and intergenerational.						
		* Staged implementation starting in 2	2025/26. For 2024/25 the 2021-2031 p	policy will apply. From 2025/26 the ch	nanges will ramp in, evenly over thre	e years, with full implementation in 2027/28.						
Capital Expenditure	Rotorua Catchments	100% Borrowing	Up to 100% Borrowing, reserves, grants and subsidies	Up to 100% Borrowing, reserves, grants and subsidies		-						

ACTIVITY: Climate Change Programme Coordination

ACTIVITY ANALYSIS	ACTIVITY ANALYSIS											
Description		Distribution of benefits		Period of Benefit	Exacerbators	Separate Funding						
Describe key parts of the activity: Note any sub activities that may require separate funding	Who benefits:Whole communityIdentifiable partIndividuals	Who are they: • Location • Land use • Specific users	How much do they benefit: High, medium, low How is benefit / access distributed: Evenly vs variably (on what basis)	When do the benefits occur (vs investment): • Short term (same year) • Recurring (ongoing every year) • Long term (later years)	 Who else has created the need: Who Action / inaction Can they be charged Will it change their behaviour 	Should the activity be funded separately: Cost / benefit Transparency Accountability						
Implementation of Climate Change Action Plan	Whole community: Yes Identifiable part: No Individuals: No	Everyone in the region -	High -	Recurring -	Human activities across the whole region have helped cause climate change	No reason to fund separately						

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES									
				penditure Funding S	ources by Outcome and	d Goal			
Community Outcomes and Goals the Activity contributes to				General Funds		Other			
			General	Rates	Interests and dividends from	Targete	ed Rates	Fees and	Grants and Subsidies
			General Rates LV/CV	UAGC	investment	Targeted on LV/CV	Flat Targeted	- Charges	Subsidies
Future Ready Communities	Communities are aware of and prepa	red for the impact of natural hazards and climate change	Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Likely
Future Ready Communities	ties We will empower communities to make sustainable choices and transition towards a low carbon economy			Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Likely
Sustainable Development Enable and advocate for climate resilient spatial plans that take a sustainable development approach			Likely	Likely	Likely	Possible	Possible	Unlikely	Likely
Activity funding needs analysis indicator General Funds									

FUNDING POLICY	UNDING POLICY									
		2024-34 draft LTP Funding Sources (average over 10 years)	2024-34 draft Policy settings range	2021-2031 LTP Policy settings range	Reason for change					
Operating Expenditure	Climate Change Programme Coordination	100% General Funds	Up to 100% General Funds	New Activity	Not applicable					
Capital Expenditure	Climate Change Programme Coordination	100% Borrowing	Up to 100% Borrowing	New Activity	Not applicable					

ACTIVITY: Biosecurity

ACTIVITY ANALYSIS						
Description		Distribution of benefits		Period of Benefit	Exacerbators	Separate Funding
Describe key parts of the activity: Note any sub activities that may require separate funding	Who benefits:Whole communityIdentifiable partIndividuals	Who are they:LocationLand useSpecific users	How much do they benefit: High, medium, low How is benefit / access distributed: Evenly vs variably (on what basis)	 When do the benefits occur (vs investment): Short term (same year) Recurring (ongoing every year) Long term (later years) 	 Who else has created the need: Who Action / inaction Can they be charged Will it change their behaviour 	Should the activity be funded separately: Cost / benefit Transparency Accountability
Implementation of the Regional Pest Management Plan Supporting MPI in delivery of biosecurity programmes Supporting community biosecurity initiatives	Whole community: Yes Identifiable part: No Individuals: Yes	Everyone in the region - Property owners	High - Medium	Short term - Short term	Human activities have caused climate change which has increased the likelihood of new pest incursions. People who don't control pests on their properties.	No reason to fund separately

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES	COMMUNITY OUTCOMES										
Community Outcomes and Goals the Activity contributes to			Suitable Operating Expenditure Funding Sources by Outcome and Goal								
			General Funds			Other					
			General	Rates	Interests and dividends from	Targeted Rates		Fees and Charges	Grants and Subsidies		
			General Rates LV/CV	UAGC	investment	Targeted on LV/CV	Flat Targeted	Citalges	Substates		
Healthy Environment	The region's diverse range of physical	environments and natural ecosystems are in a healthy state	Likely	Likely	Likely	Possible	Possible	Likely	Likely		
Healthy Environment	Enabling te mana o te wai through he	ealthy and improving waterways and their ecosystem	Likely	Likely	Likely	Possible	Possible	Likely	Likely		
Future Ready Communities We seek to provide nature based solutions as appropriate to enhance the environment and protect our communities			Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Likely		
Activity funding needs analysis indicator General Funds		General Funds									

FUNDING POLICY	FUNDING POLICY										
		2024-34 draft LTP Funding Sources (average over 10 years)	2024-34 draft Policy settings range	2021-2031 LTP Policy settings range	Reason for change						
Operating Expenditure	Biosecurity	89% General Funds	80% - 100% General Funds	80% - 100% General Funds							
		11% Grants and Subsidies/ Other income	0% - 20% Grants and Subsidies/ Other income	0% - 20% Grants and Subsidies							
				0% - 20% Other							
Capital Expenditure	Biosecurity	100% Borrowing	Up to 100% Borrowing	Up to 100% Borrowing	-						

ACTIVITY: Coastal Catchments

ACTIVITY ANALYSIS						
Description		Distribution of benefits		Period of Benefit	Exacerbators	Separate Funding
Describe key parts of the activity: Note any sub activities that may require separate funding	Who benefits:Whole communityIdentifiable partIndividuals	Who are they: • Location • Land use • Specific users	How much do they benefit: High, medium, low How is benefit / access distributed: Evenly vs variably (on what basis)	 When do the benefits occur (vs investment): Short term (same year) Recurring (ongoing every year) Long term (later years) 	 Who else has created the need: Who Action / inaction Can they be charged Will it change their behaviour 	Should the activity be funded separately: Cost / benefit Transparency Accountability
Catchment management Biodiversity outcomes Regional Parks	Whole community: Yes Identifiable part: Yes Individuals: Yes	Everyone in the region Local groups Property owners Users	High Medium Low	Short term Short term Short term	Industry sectors whose actions compromise water quality and biodiversity, and people whose actions degrade the environment	No reason to fund separately

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES	COMMUNITY OUTCOMES												
					Suitable Operating Expenditure Funding Sources by Outcome and Goal								
Community Outcomes and Goals the Activity contributes to				General Funds		Other							
			General	Rates	Interests and	Targeted Rates		Fees and	Grants and Subsidies				
				UAGC	dividends from investment	Targeted on LV/CV	Flat Targeted	Charges	Substates				
Healthy Environment	The region's diverse range of physical	environments and natural ecosystems are in a healthy state	Likely	Likely	Likely	Possible	Possible	Likely	Likely				
Healthy Environment	Enabling te mana o te wai through he	althy and improving waterways and their ecosystem	Likely	Likely	Likely	Possible	Possible	Likely	Likely				
Te Ara Poutama Supporting enhanced Māori participation in operational activities, and progressing new opportunities where they arise within existing operations			Possible	Possible	Possible	Possible	Possible	Possible	Possible				
Activity funding needs analysis indicator General Funds								,					

FUNDING POLICY	FUNDING POLICY										
		2024-34 draft LTP Funding Sources (average over 10 years)	2024-34 draft Policy settings range	2021-2031 LTP Policy settings range	Reason for change						
Operating Expenditure	Coastal Catchments	98% General Funds 2% Grants and Subsidies, other income	80% - 100% General Funds 0% - 20% Grants and Subsidies	80% - 100% General Funds 0% - 20% Grants and Subsidies							
Capital Expenditure	Coastal Catchments	Borrowing 100%	Up to 100% Borrowing, reserves, grants and subsidies	Up to 100% Borrowing, reserves, grants and subsidies							

ACTIVITY: Freshwater Programme

ACTIVITY ANALYSIS						
Description		Distribution of benefits		Period of Benefit	Exacerbators	Separate Funding
Describe key parts of the activity: Note any sub activities that may require separate funding	Who benefits:Whole communityIdentifiable partIndividuals	Who are they: • Location • Land use • Specific users	How much do they benefit: High, medium, low How is benefit / access distributed: Evenly vs variably (on what basis)	When do the benefits occur (vs investment): • Short term (same year) • Recurring (ongoing every year) • Long term (later years)	 Who else has created the need: Who Action / inaction Can they be charged Will it change their behaviour 	Should the activity be funded separately: Cost / benefit Transparency Accountability
Maintain and improve water quality Set key environmental policies and rules Scientific research and monitoring	Whole community: Yes Identifiable part: No Individuals: No	Everyone in the region -	High -	Recurring -	People and industries whose actions compromise water quality	No reason to fund separately

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES	OMMUNITY OUTCOMES										
				penditure Funding S	ources by Outcome and	d Goal					
				General Funds			Othe	er			
Community Outcomes and G	Goals the Activity contributes to		Genera	Rates	Interests and dividends from	Targete	ed Rates	Fees and	Grants and Subsidies		
				UAGC	investment	Targeted on LV/CV	Flat Targeted	Charges	Subsidies		
Healthy Environment	Enabling te mana o te wai through he	ealthy and improving waterways and their ecosystem	Likely	Likely	Likely	Possible	Possible	Likely	Likely		
Sustainable Development We support development and growth that takes into account the four Well-beings of people and communities the need to maintain and enhance the quality of the environment and the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations			Likely	Likely	Likely	Possible	Possible	Unlikely	Likely		
Te Ara Poutama Partner with Māori to enhance delivery and share decision making			Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Possible		
Activity funding needs analysis indicator General Funds											

FUNDING POLICY	FUNDING POLICY									
		2024-34 draft LTP Funding Sources (average over 10 years)	2024-34 draft Policy settings range	2021-2031 LTP Policy settings range	Reason for change					
Operating Expenditure	Freshwater Programme	100% General Funds	Up to 100% General Funds	-	New Activity					
Capital Expenditure	Freshwater Programme	100% Borrowing	Up to 100% Borrowing	-	New Activity					

ACTIVITY: Regulatory Compliance

GROUP OF ACTIVITIES: Regulatory Services

ACTIVITY ANALYSIS										
Description		Distribution of benefits		Period of Benefit	Exacerbators	Separate Funding				
Describe key parts of the activity: Note any sub activities that may require separate funding	Who benefits:Whole communityIdentifiable partIndividuals	Who are they: • Location • Land use • Specific users	How much do they benefit: High, medium, low How is benefit / access distributed: Evenly vs variably (on what basis)	When do the benefits occur (vs investment): • Short term (same year) • Recurring (ongoing every year) • Long term (later years)	 Who else has created the need: Who Action / inaction Can they be charged Will it change their behaviour 	Should the activity be funded separately: Cost / benefit Transparency Accountability				
Compliance monitoring of resource consents Responding to environmental complaints Enforcing compliance with RMA, Regional Plans	Whole community: Yes Identifiable part: Yes	Everyone in the region Local groups	High Low	Recurring Recurring	Industries and individuals whose actions cause environmental harm	No reason to fund separately				
and national regulations and standards	Individuals: Yes	Consent holders; Complainants	Medium	Recurring						

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES	OMMUNITY OUTCOMES									
				penditure Funding S	ources by Outcome and	l Goal				
				General Funds			Othe	er		
Community Outcomes and G	oals the Activity contributes to		General	Rates	Interests and	Targete	ed Rates	Fees and	Grants and	
				UAGC	dividends from investment	Targeted on LV/CV	Flat Targeted	Charges	Subsidies	
Healthy Environment	The region's diverse range of physica	environments and natural ecosystems are in a healthy state	Likely	Likely	Likely	Possible	Possible	Likely	Likely	
Healthy Environment	Enabling te mana o te wai through he	althy and improving waterways and their ecosystem	Likely	Likely	Likely	Possible	Possible	Likely	Likely	
Sustainable Development We support development and growth that takes into account the four Well-beings of people and communities the need to maintain and enhance the quality of the environment and the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations			Likely	Likely	Likely	Possible	Possible	Unlikely	Possible	
Activity funding needs analy	sis indicator	Fees and charges for consent holders and enforcements General Funds (for operating costs excluding Clean Heat Loan	s and Grants);							

FUNDING POLICY										
		2024-34 draft LTP Funding Sources (average over 10 years)	2024-34 draft Policy settings range	2021-2031 LTP Policy range	Reason for change					
	Regulatory Compliance (Overall activity)	28% Fees and Charges 72% General Funds	20% - 40% Fees and Charges 60% - 80% General Funds	20% - 40% Fees and Charges 60% - 80% General Funds	-					
Operating Expenditure	Regulatory Compliance (Rotorua Air sub-activity)	100% General Funds (costs excl. Clean Heat loans and grants) 100% Targeted Rates (for Clean Heat loans and grants)	100% General Funds (costs excl. Clean Heat loans and grants) 100% Targeted Rates (for Clean Heat loans and grants)	100 50% Targeted Rates 50% General Funds	Recognition of wider community benefit (excludes Rotorua clean heat loans and grants) and achieves consistency of funding of air quality services.					
Capital Expenditure	Regulatory Compliance	100% Borrowing	Up to 100% Borrowing	Up to 100% Borrowing	-					

ACTIVITY: Maritime Operations

GROUP OF ACTIVITIES: Regulatory Services

ACTIVITY ANALYSIS						
Description		Distribution of benefits		Period of Benefit	Exacerbators	Separate Funding
Describe key parts of the activity: Note any sub activities that may require separate funding	Who benefits:Whole communityIdentifiable partIndividuals	Who are they:LocationLand useSpecific users	How much do they benefit: High, medium, low How is benefit / access distributed: Evenly vs variably (on what basis)	 When do the benefits occur (vs investment): Short term (same year) Recurring (ongoing every year) Long term (later years) 	 Who else has created the need: Who Action / inaction Can they be charged Will it change their behaviour 	Should the activity be funded separately: Cost / benefit Transparency Accountability
Implementation and enforcing compliance of the Navigation and Safety Bylaw	Whole community: Yes Identifiable part: Yes Individuals: Yes	Everyone in the region Local groups Users	Medium High Medium	Short term Short term Short term	Vessel operators that create the need for enforcement action	No reason to fund separately

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES									
				penditure Funding S	ources by Outcome and	Goal			
				General Funds		Other			
Community Outcomes and O	Goals the Activity contributes to		General	Rates	Interests and dividends from	Targete	ed Rates	Fees and	Grants and Subsidies
				UAGC	investment	Targeted on LV/CV	Flat Targeted	Charges	Subsidies
Healthy Environment	The region's diverse range of physica	l environments and natural ecosystems are in a healthy state	Likely	Likely	Likely	Possible	Possible	Likely	Likely
Connected Communities	We foster strong communities through	gh engagement in decisions that are important to them	Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Possible
Te Ara Poutama Supporting Māori capacity and capability building to empower proactive relationships			Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Possible
		Fees and charges for mooring licence owners and enforcement General Funds	nt actions						

FUNDING POLICY	FUNDING POLICY									
		2024-34 draft LTP Funding Sources (average over 10 years)	2024-34 draft Policy settings range	2021-2031 LTP Policy settings range	Reason for change					
Operating Expenditure	Maritime Operations	29% Fees and Charges 73% General Funds	20% - 40% Fees and Charges 60% - 80% General Funds	20% - 40% Fees and Charges 60% - 80% General Funds 0% - 20% Grants and Subsidies	Not expecting to receive any Grants and Subsidies					
Capital Expenditure	Maritime Operations	100% Borrowing	Up to 100% Borrowing, reserves	Up to 100% Borrowing, reserves	-					

ACTIVITY: Emergency Management GROUP OF ACTIVITIES: Regulatory Services

ACTIVITY ANALYSIS						
Description		Distribution of benefits		Period of Benefit	Exacerbators	Separate Funding
Describe key parts of the activity: Note any sub activities that may require separate funding	Who benefits:Whole communityIdentifiable partIndividuals	Who are they: • Location • Land use • Specific users	How much do they benefit: High, medium, low How is benefit / access distributed: Evenly vs variably (on what basis)	 When do the benefits occur (vs investment): Short term (same year) Recurring (ongoing every year) Long term (later years) 	 Who else has created the need: Who Action / inaction Can they be charged Will it change their behaviour 	Should the activity be funded separately: Cost / benefit Transparency Accountability
A combination of directly provided Emergency Management services and the co-ordination of services provided by a range of parties.	Whole community: Yes Identifiable part: Yes Individuals: No	Everyone in the region Wider Community -	High Medium -	Short term Short term	Development taking place in areas of known high risk of earthquake, flood, tsunami etc	Is currently ringfenced for transparency because Council manages it on behalf of the CDEM Group

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES									
			Suitable Operating Ex	penditure Funding S	ources by Outcome and	l Goal			
				General Funds		Other			
Community Outcomes and G	oals the Activity contributes to		General	Rates	Interests and	Targete	ed Rates	Fees and	Grants and
				UAGC	dividends from investment	Targeted on LV/CV	Flat Targeted	Charges	Subsidies
Future Ready Communities	Communities are aware of and prepa	red for the impact of natural hazards and climate change	Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Likely
Connected Communities	We have a diverse and supported ne	work of volunteers	Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Possible
Te Ara Poutama Partner with Māori to enhance delivery and share decision making			Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Possible
Activity funding needs analysis indicator Differentiated targeted rates General Funds		Differentiated targeted rates for communities that receive differentiated rates for communities that receive differentiated rates for communities that receive differentiated rates for communities and the communities are compared to the communities of the communities are compared to the communities of the communities of the communities are compared to the communities of t	fferent levels of service						

FUNDING POLICY	FUNDING POLICY										
		2024-34 draft LTP Funding Sources (average over 10 years)	2024-34 draft Policy settings range	2021-2031 LTP Policy settings range	Reason for change						
Operating Expenditure	Emergency Management	97% Targeted Rates 3% Other income	100% Targeted Rates 0-20% Reserves 0-20% Other income	0% – 20% Other 80% - 100% Differentiated Targeted Rate	Recognition of the regional and national benefits of emergency management services, as well as the differing local benefits which depend on the relative levels of service provided. Should be considered in the context of governance arrangements with the Bay of Plenty CDEM Group Joint Committee where the current funding is noted in a multiparty agreement.						
Capital Expenditure	Emergency Management	100% Borrowing	Up to 100% Borrowing, reserves	Up to 100% Borrowing, reserves	-						

ACTIVITY: Resource Consents

GROUP OF ACTIVITIES: Regulatory Services

ACTIVITY ANALYSIS	ACTIVITY ANALYSIS											
Description	Distribution of benefits			Period of Benefit	Exacerbators	Separate Funding						
Describe key parts of the activity: Note any sub activities that may require separate funding	Who benefits:Whole communityIdentifiable partIndividuals	Who are they:LocationLand useSpecific users	How much do they benefit: High, medium, low How is benefit / access distributed: Evenly vs variably (on what basis)	When do the benefits occur (vs investment): • Short term (same year) • Recurring (ongoing every year) • Long term (later years)	 Who else has created the need: Who Action / inaction Can they be charged Will it change their behaviour 	Should the activity be funded separately: Cost / benefit Transparency Accountability						
Process resource consent applications in compliance with the Resource Management Act (RMA) and provide consenting advice to customers Provide input into plan changes and legislative changes and policies	Whole community: Yes Identifiable part: No Individuals: Yes	Everyone in the region - Consent applicants	Medium - High	Recurring - Recurring	None	Yes – consent applicants should be allocated the cost of processing their consent						

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES	COMMUNITY OUTCOMES									
					ources by Outcome and	l Goal				
							Othe	r		
Community Outcomes and Goals the Activity contributes to				Rates	Interests and dividends from	Targete	ed Rates	Fees and	Grants and Subsidies	
			General Rates LV/CV	UAGC	investment	Targeted on LV/CV	Flat Targeted	Charges	Jubalulea	
Healthy Environment	The region's diverse range of physical	environments and natural ecosystems are in a healthy state	Likely	Likely	Likely	Possible	Possible	Likely	Likely	
Healthy Environment	Enabling te mana o te wai through he	althy and improving waterways and their ecosystem	Likely	Likely	Likely	Possible	Possible	Likely	Likely	
Sustainable Development We support development and growth that takes into account the four Well-beings of people and communities the need to maintain and enhance the quality of the environment and the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations				Likely	Likely	Possible	Possible	Unlikely	Possible	
Activity funding needs analy	sis indicator	Fees and charges for consent applicants General Funds								

FUNDING POLICY	FUNDING POLICY										
		2024-34 draft LTP Funding Sources (average over 10 years)	2024-34 draft Policy settings range	2021-2031 LTP Policy settings range	Reason for change						
Operating Expenditure	Resource Consents	47% Fees and Charges 53% General Funds	20% - 50% Fees and Charges 50% - 80% General Funds	40% - 60% Fees and Charges 40% - 60% General Funds	In practice, the amount of fee recoverable is governed by legislation						
Capital Expenditure	Resource Consents	100% Borrowing	Up to 100% Borrowing	100% Borrowing	-						

ACTIVITY: Governance Services

GROUP OF ACTIVITIES: Democracy, Engagement and Community Participation

ACTIVITY ANALYSIS	ACTIVITY ANALYSIS											
Description	Distribution of benefits			Period of Benefit	Exacerbators	Separate Funding						
Describe key parts of the activity: Note any sub activities that may require separate funding	Who benefits:Whole communityIdentifiable partIndividuals	Who are they: • Location • Land use • Specific users	How much do they benefit: High, medium, low How is benefit / access distributed: Evenly vs variably (on what basis)	When do the benefits occur (vs investment): • Short term (same year) • Recurring (ongoing every year) • Long term (later years)	 Who else has created the need: Who Action / inaction Can they be charged Will it change their behaviour 	Should the activity be funded separately: Cost / benefit Transparency Accountability						
Support the democratic structure and processes of Council Assist the Council in decision-making processes and support elected members in providing good governance in an open and transparent manner	Whole community: Yes Identifiable part: Yes Individuals: Yes	Everyone in the region Local Groups LGOIMA requesters	High Medium Low	Recurring Recurring Recurring	None	No reason to fund separately						

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES	COMMUNITY OUTCOMES											
					ources by Outcome and	d Goal						
				General Funds		Other						
Community Outcomes and G	General Rates		Interests and Targ		ted Rates Fees and		Grants and Subsidies					
			General Rates LV/CV	UAGC	investment	Targeted on LV/CV	Flat Targeted	Charges	Subsidies			
Connected Communities	We foster strong communities through	gh engagement in decisions that are important to them	Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Possible			
Te Ara Poutama Partner with Māori to enhance delivery and share decision making			Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Possible			
Activity funding needs analysis indicator		General Funds										

FUNDING POLICY	FUNDING POLICY										
		2024-34 draft LTP Funding Sources (average over 10 years)	2024-34 draft Policy settings range	2021-2031 LTP Policy settings range	Reason for change						
Operating Expenditure	Governance	100% General Funds	Up to 100% General Funds	0% - 20% Fees and Charges 80% - 100% General Funds	New assumption that Fees and Charges are not expected						
Capital Expenditure	Governance	100% Borrowing	Up to 100% Borrowing	100% Borrowing	Up to 100% Borrowing						

ACTIVITY: Community Engagement

GROUP OF ACTIVITIES: Democracy, Engagement and Community Participation

ACTIVITY ANALYSIS											
Description	Distribution of benefits			Period of Benefit	Exacerbators	Separate Funding					
Describe key parts of the activity: Note any sub activities that may require separate funding	Who benefits:Whole communityIdentifiable partIndividuals	Who are they:LocationLand useSpecific users	How much do they benefit: High, medium, low How is benefit / access distributed: Evenly vs variably (on what basis)	 When do the benefits occur (vs investment): Short term (same year) Recurring (ongoing every year) Long term (later years) 	 Who else has created the need: Who Action / inaction Can they be charged Will it change their behaviour 	Should the activity be funded separately: Cost / benefit Transparency Accountability					
Enabling our diverse communities to help shape decisions about our proposed plans and actions, through designing and implementing informal and formal engagement processes and funding	Whole community: Yes Identifiable part: No Individuals: No	Everyone in the region -	High -	Recurring -	None	No reason to fund separately					

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES										
					ources by Outcome and	l Goal				
Community Outcomes and Goals the Activity contributes to				General Funds			Other			
			General	General Rates Interests a		Targeted Rates		Fees and Charges	Grants and Subsidies	
				UAGC	investment	Targeted on LV/CV	Flat Targeted	Charges	Jubalulea	
Connected Communities	We foster strong communities throug	th engagement in decisions that are important to them	Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Possible	
Healthy Environment	Healthy Environment We support communities to nurture our environment			Likely	Likely	Possible	Possible	Unlikely	Likely	
Te Ara Poutama Partner with Māori to enhance delivery and share decision making			Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Possible	
Activity funding needs analysis indicator		General Funds								

FUNDING POLICY	UNDING POLICY											
		2024-34 draft LTP Funding Sources (average over 10 years)	2024-34 draft Policy settings range	2021-2031 LTP Policy settings range	Reason for change							
Operating Expenditure	Community Engagement	9% Differentiated Targeted Rates 91% General Funds	0% - 20% Differentiated Targeted Rates 80% - 100% General Funds 0-20% Reserves	0% - 20% Differentiated Targeted Rates 80% - 100% General Funds								
Capital Expenditure	Community Engagement	100% Borrowing	Up to 100% Borrowing	100% Borrowing								

ACTIVITY: Transport Service Deliveries

GROUP OF ACTIVITIES: Transportation

ACTIVITY ANALYSIS	ACTIVITY ANALYSIS											
Description		Distribution of benefits		Period of Benefit	Exacerbators	Separate Funding						
Describe key parts of the activity: Note any sub activities that may require separate funding	Who benefits: Whole community Identifiable part Individuals	Who are they: • Location • Land use • Specific users	How much do they benefit: High, medium, low How is benefit / access distributed: Evenly vs variably (on what basis)	When do the benefits occur (vs investment): • Short term (same year) • Recurring (ongoing every year) • Long term (later years)	 Who else has created the need: Who Action / inaction Can they be charged Will it change their behaviour 	Should the activity be funded separately: Cost / benefit Transparency Accountability						
Public Transport Operations including network optimisation, bus contract management, providing customer information, community engagement and performance monitoring of bus services	Whole community: Yes Identifiable part: Yes Individuals: Yes	Everyone in the region Wider Community Bus users	Medium Medium High	Recurring Recurring Recurring	Single passenger vehicle occupancy increasing congestion, inappropriate sited developments, increased transport emissions impacting the environment and regional economic productivity	No reason to fund separately						

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES									
		Suitable Operating Exp	enditure Fu	unding Sources by Out	come and Goal				
		Ge	neral Fund	S		Othe	r		
Community Outcomes and (General Rates	5	Interests and	Targeted F	lates	Fees and Charges	Grants and		
	General Rates LV/CV	UAGC	dividends from investment	Targeted on LV/CV	Flat Targeted		Subsidies		
Connected Communities	Communities are connected through wellbeing, liveability and environment	an effective transport system, land use and urban design that improves tal outcomes	Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely
Sustainable Development	Sustainable Development We support development and growth that takes into account the four Well-beings of people and communities the need to maintain and enhance the quality of the environment and the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations			Likely	Likely	Possible	Possible	Unlikely	Likely
Future Ready Communities We will empower communities to make sustainable choices and transition towards a low carbon economy			Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Likely
Activity funding needs analysis indicator		Fees and Charges for bus users, Grants and Subsidies, Targeted Rates General Funds							

FUNDING POLICY					
		2024-34 draft LTP Funding Sources (average over 10 years)	2024-34 draft Policy settings range	2021-2031 LTP Policy settings range	Reason for change
Operating Expenditure	Transport Services Deliveries: Urban Public Transport	6% Fees and Charges and Other Revenue 5% General Funds 44% Grants and Subsidies 44% Differentiated Targeted Rate 1% Reserves	0% - 20% Fees and Charges and other revenue 0% - 20% General Funds 40% - 60% Grants and Subsidies (Tauranga, Rotorua, Western Bay) 30% - 50% Grants and Subsidies (Whakatāne) 30% - 50% Differentiated Targeted Rate (Tauranga, Rotorua, Western Bay) 40% - 60% Differentiated Targeted Rate (Whakatāne) 0-20% Reserves	0% - 20% Fees and Charges 40% - 60% Grants and Subsidies 40% - 60% Differentiated Targeted Rate	The opportunity for communities to be more connected, the reduced congestion, reduced carbon emissions and improved economic activity that public transport provides to everyone in the region, have been considered.
	Regional Public Transport	2% Fees and Charges and other revenue 74% General Funds 23% Grants and Subsidies	0% - 20% Fees and Charges and other revenue 60% - 80% General Funds 20% - 40% Grants and Subsidies	0% - 20% Fees and Charges 60% - 80% General Funds 20% - 40% Grants and Subsidies	
Capital Expenditure	Transport Services Deliveries	100% Borrowing	Up to 100% Borrowing, reserves, grants and subsidies	100% Borrowing, reserves, grants and subsidies	-

ACTIVITY: Transport Planning G

GROUP OF ACTIVITIES: Transportation

ACTIVITY ANALYSIS										
Description		Distribution of benefits		Period of Benefit	Exacerbators	Separate Funding				
Describe key parts of the activity: Note any sub activities that may require separate funding	Who benefits:Whole communityIdentifiable partIndividuals	Who are they: • Location • Land use • Specific users	How much do they benefit: High, medium, low How is benefit / access distributed: Evenly vs variably (on what basis)	When do the benefits occur (vs investment): • Short term (same year) • Recurring (ongoing every year) • Long term (later years)	 Who else has created the need: Who Action / inaction Can they be charged Will it change their behaviour 	Should the activity be funded separately: Cost / benefit Transparency Accountability				
The delivery of integrated regional land transport planning and public transport network planning to create liveable communities supported by a convenient and sustainable transport system	Whole community: Yes Identifiable part: Yes Individuals: No	Everyone in the region Wider Community -	High Medium -	Recurring Recurring	Faster growing communities need more frequent review and depth of planning. We are required to meet our statutory obligations under the Land Transport Management Act	No reason to fund separately				

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES	COMMUNITY OUTCOMES										
				penditure Funding So	ources by Outcome and	d Goal					
				General Funds			Othe	er			
Community Outcomes and G	oals the Activity contributes to		Genera	Rates	Interests and dividends from	Targete	ed Rates	Fees and Charges	Grants and		
			General Rates LV/CV	UAGC	investment	Targeted on LV/CV	Flat Targeted	charges	Subsidies		
Connected Communities	Communities are connected through improves wellbeing, liveability and er	an effective transport system, land use and urban design that vironmental outcomes	Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely		
Sustainable Development	Development We support development and growth that takes into account the four Well-beings of people and communities the need to maintain and enhance the quality of the environment and the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations			Likely	Likely	Possible	Possible	Unlikely	Likely		
Future Ready Communities We will empower communities to make sustainable choices and transition towards a low carbon economy				Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Likely		
Activity funding needs analy	sis indicator										

FUNDING POLICY										
		2024-34 draft LTP Funding Sources (average over 10 years)	2024-34 draft Policy settings range	2021-2031 LTP Policy settings range	Reason for change					
Operating Expenditure	Transport Planning	93% General Funds 7% Grants and Subsidies	0% - 20% Grants and Subsidies Up to 100% General Funds	0% - 20% Grants and Subsidies 80% - 100% General Funds	No Grants and Subsidies expected (activity has been separated out from urban planning)					
Capital Expenditure	Transport Planning	100% Borrowing	Up to 100% Borrowing	100% Borrowing	-					

ACTIVITY: Policy and Planning

ACTIVITY ANALYSIS	ACTIVITY ANALYSIS										
Description		Distribution of benefits		Period of Benefit	Exacerbators	Separate Funding					
Describe key parts of the activity: Note any sub activities that may require separate funding	Who benefits:Whole communityIdentifiable partIndividuals	Who are they:LocationLand useSpecific users	How much do they benefit: High, medium, low How is benefit / access distributed: Evenly vs variably (on what basis)	When do the benefits occur (vs investment): • Short term (same year) • Recurring (ongoing every year) • Long term (later years)	 Who else has created the need: Who Action / inaction Can they be charged Will it change their behaviour 	Should the activity be funded separately: Cost / benefit Transparency Accountability					
Development of strategies, policies and plans to identify how the natural and physical resources in the region are to be managed. Providing input on district consent applications, district plans and other policy	Whole community: Yes Identifiable part: No Individuals: No	Everyone in the region -	High -	Long term -	Legislation, RMA and NPS requirements mean plans need to be reviewed and developed	No reason to fund separately					
from Central and local government											

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES									
				penditure Funding S	ources by Outcome and	l Goal			
				General Funds		Other			
Community Outcomes and G	oals the Activity contributes to		General	Rates	Interests and	Targete	ed Rates	Fees and	Grants and
				UAGC	dividends from investment	Targeted on LV/CV	Flat Targeted	Charges	Subsidies
Sustainable Development	1	that takes into account the four Well-beings of people and denhance the quality of the environment and the reasonably ons	Likely	Likely	Likely	Possible	Possible	Unlikely	Likely
Healthy Environment The region's diverse range of physical environments and natural ecosystems are in a healthy state			Likely	Likely	Likely	Possible	Possible	Likely	Likely
Healthy Environment Enabling te mana o te wai through healthy and improving waterways and their ecosystem			Likely	Likely	Likely	Possible	Possible	Likely	Likely
Activity funding needs analysis indicator General Funds									

FUNDING POLICY									
		2024-34 draft LTP Funding Sources (average over 10 years)	2024-34 draft Policy settings range	2021-2031 LTP Policy settings range	Reason for change				
Operating Expenditure	Policy and Planning	100% General Funds	Up to 100% General Funds	Up to 100% General Funds	-				
Capital Expenditure	Policy and Planning	100% Borrowing	Up to 100% Borrowing	Up to 100% Borrowing	-				

SUB-ACTIVITY: Regional Development (Economic)

ACTIVITY ANALYSIS									
Description		Distribution of benefits		Period of Benefit	Exacerbators	Separate Funding			
Describe key parts of the activity: Note any sub activities that may require separate funding	Who benefits:Whole communityIdentifiable partIndividuals	Who are they: • Location • Land use • Specific users	 How much do they benefit: High, medium, low How is benefit / access distributed: Evenly vs variably (on what basis) 	 When do the benefits occur (vs investment): Short term (same year) Recurring (ongoing every year) Long term (later years) 	 Who else has created the need: Who Action / inaction Can they be charged Will it change their behaviour 	Should the activity be funded separately: Cost / benefit Transparency Accountability			
Council participation in initiatives to achieve economic outcomes for the region.	Whole community: Yes Identifiable part: Yes Individuals: No	Everyone in the region Local Groups -	High Medium -	Short term Short term		No reason to fund separately			

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES									
			Suitable Operating Ex	penditure Funding S	ources by Outcome and	d Goal			
				General Funds			Othe	er	
Community Outcomes and G	oals the Activity contributes to		Genera	Rates	Interests and	Targete	ed Rates	Fees and	Grants and
			General Rates LV/CV	UAGC	dividends from investment	Targeted on LV/CV	Flat Targeted	Charges	Subsidies
Sustainable Development	1	n that takes into account the four Well-beings of people and and enhance the quality of the environment and the reasonably ons	Likely	Likely	Likely	Possible	Possible	Unlikely	Likely
Future Ready Communities	Future Ready Communities We will empower communities to make sustainable choices and transition towards a low carbon economy			Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Likely
Te Ara Poutama Supporting enhanced Māori participation in operational activities, and progressing new opportunities where they arise within existing operations			Possible	Possible	Possible	Possible	Possible	Possible	Possible
Activity funding needs analy					•				

FUNDING POLICY	FUNDING POLICY									
		2024-34 draft LTP Funding Sources (average over 10 years)	2024-34 draft Policy settings range	2021-2031 LTP Policy settings range	Reason for change					
Operating Expenditure	Regional Development (Economic)	52% General Funds. 48% Reserves	50% - 100% General Funds 0% -50% Reserves	Up to 100% General Funds	-					
Capital Expenditure	Regional Development (Economic)	100% Borrowing	Up to 100% Borrowing	Up to 100% Borrowing	-					

SUB-ACTIVITY: Regional Development (Infrastructure)

ACTIVITY ANALYSIS	CTIVITY ANALYSIS										
Description	Distribution of benefits		Period of Benefit	Exacerbators	Separate Funding						
Describe key parts of the activity: Note any sub activities that may require separate funding	Who benefits:Whole communityIdentifiable partIndividuals	Who are they: • Location • Land use • Specific users	How much do they benefit: High, medium, low How is benefit / access distributed: Evenly vs variably (on what basis)	 When do the benefits occur (vs investment): Short term (same year) Recurring (ongoing every year) Long term (later years) 	 Who else has created the need: Who Action / inaction Can they be charged Will it change their behaviour 	Should the activity be funded separately: Cost / benefit Transparency Accountability					
Provides funding for infrastructure projects delivered and owned by third parties in the Bay of Plenty. Council considers funding projects that deliver outcomes more effectively than Council could deliver directly	Whole community: Yes Identifiable part: Yes Individuals: No	Everyone in the region Local Groups -	High Medium -	Recurring Recurring	Third party organisations who request finding assistance with infrastructure projects within the region	Need to establish ringfenced reserves for future capex					

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES	COMMUNITY OUTCOMES									
			Suitable Operating Expenditure Funding Sources by Outcome and Goal							
			General Funds			Other				
Community Outcomes and G	oals the Activity contributes to		General Rates		Interests and dividends from	Targeted Rates		Fees and	Grants and	
			General Rates LV/CV	UAGC	investment	Targeted on LV/CV	Flat Targeted	- Charges	Subsidies	
Sustainable Development Regional infrastructure is resilient, efficient and integrated		Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Likely		
Activity funding needs analysis indicator		Regionally Consistent Targeted Rate								

FUNDING POLICY	FUNDING POLICY										
		2024-34 draft LTP Funding Sources (average over 10 years)	2024-34 draft Policy settings range	2021-2031 LTP Policy settings range	Reason for change						
Operating Expenditure	Regional Development (Infrastructure)	100% Reserves	Up to 100% Regionally Consistent Targeted Rate 0-100% Reserves	Up to 100% General Funds	Recognises that depending on projects funded, there may be a need to establish ring-fenced reserves for future expenditure						
Capital Expenditure	Regional Development (Infrastructure)	100% Borrowing	Up to 100% Borrowing, reserves, grants and subsidies	Up to 100% Borrowing	-						

ACTIVITY: Spatial Planning

ACTIVITY ANALYSIS						
Description	Distribution of benefits			Period of Benefit	Exacerbators	Separate Funding
Describe key parts of the activity: Note any sub activities that may require separate funding	Who benefits:Whole communityIdentifiable partIndividuals	Who are they: • Location • Land use • Specific users	How much do they benefit: High, medium, low How is benefit / access distributed: Evenly vs variably (on what basis)	When do the benefits occur (vs investment): • Short term (same year) • Recurring (ongoing every year) • Long term (later years)	 Who else has created the need: Who Action / inaction Can they be charged Will it change their behaviour 	Should the activity be funded separately: Cost / benefit Transparency Accountability
Identifying issues and challenges facing areas / districts within the region	Whole community: Yes	Everyone in the region	High	Long term	Legislation, SPA, NBEA and NPS requirements mean plans may	No reason to fund separately
Integrated approach to urban land use, infrastructure and	Identifiable part: No	-	-	-	need to be reviewed and developed	
planning within the region with a 30 year horizon Promoting wellbeing outcomes	Individuals: No	-		-	331315752	
Managing tensions and conflicts between land use and coastal marine activities						

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES										
			Suitable Operating Expenditure Funding Sources by Outcome and Goal							
	Community Outcomes and Goals the Activity contributes to			General Funds			Othe	er		
Community Outcomes and G				Rates	Interests and dividends from	Targete	ed Rates	Fees and Charges	Grants and Subsidies	
			General Rates LV/CV	UAGC	investment	Targeted on LV/CV	Flat Targeted	Charges	Subsidies	
Sustainable Development	1	that takes into account the four Well-beings of people and denhance the quality of the environment and the reasonably ons	Likely	Likely	Likely	Possible	Possible	Unlikely	Likely	
Connected Communities	Communities Communities are connected through an effective transport system, land use and urban design that improves wellbeing, liveability and environmental outcomes		Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	
Sustainable Development	ment Enable and advocate for climate resilient spatial plans that take a sustainable development approach			Likely	Likely	Possible	Possible	Unlikely	Likely	
Activity funding needs analysis indicator General Funds										

FUNDING POLICY									
		2024-34 draft LTP Funding Sources (average over 10 years)	2024-34 draft Policy settings range	2021-2031 LTP Policy settings range	Reason for change				
Operating Expenditure	Spatial Planning	100% General Funds	Up to 100% General Funds	Up to 100% General Funds	-				
Capital Expenditure	Spatial Planning	100% Borrowing	Up to 100% Borrowing	Up to 100% Borrowing	-				

ACTIVITY: Te Amorangi

GROUP OF ACTIVITIES: Partnerships with Māori

ACTIVITY ANALYSIS	ACTIVITY ANALYSIS											
Description		Distribution of benefits			Exacerbators	Separate Funding						
Describe key parts of the activity: Note any sub activities that may require separate funding	Who benefits:Whole communityIdentifiable partIndividuals	Who are they: • Location • Land use • Specific users	How much do they benefit: High, medium, low How is benefit / access distributed: Evenly vs variably (on what basis)	When do the benefits occur (vs investment): • Short term (same year) • Recurring (ongoing every year) • Long term (later years)	 Who else has created the need: Who Action / inaction Can they be charged Will it change their behaviour 	Should the activity be funded separately: Cost / benefit Transparency Accountability						
Provision of strategic advice and leadership on Māori outcomes, partnerships and organisation integration of Te Ao Māori, to support Councils statutory responsibilities and key priorities	Whole community: Yes Identifiable part: No Individuals: No	Everyone in the region Local Groups -	High Medium -	Recurring Recurring -	Overarching responsibilities under our key legislative frameworks (LGA, NBEA (and RMA)) to recognise and provide for the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi	No reason to fund separately						

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES										
			Suitable Operating Expenditure Funding Sources by Outcome and Goal							
							Other			
Community Outcomes and	Community Outcomes and Goals the Activity contributes to			l Rates	Interests and dividends from	Targete	ed Rates	Fees and Charges	Grants and Subsidies	
				UAGC	investment	Targeted on LV/CV	Flat Targeted		Subsidies	
Te Ara Poutama	Partner with Māori to enhance delive	ry and share decision making	Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Possible	
Te Ara Poutama	Supporting Māori capacity and capab	ility building to empower proactive relationships	Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Possible	
Te Ara Poutama Supporting enhanced Māori participation in operational activities, and progressing new opportunities where they arise within existing operations			Possible	Possible	Possible	Possible	Possible	Possible	Possible	
Activity funding needs analysis indicator General Funds										

FUNDING POLICY	FUNDING POLICY								
		2024-34 draft LTP Funding Sources (average over 10 years)	2024-34 draft Policy settings range	2021-2031 LTP Policy settings range	Reason for change				
Operating Expenditure	Te Amorangi	100% General Funds	Up to 100% General Funds	Up to 100% General Funds	-				
Capital Expenditure	Te Amorangi	100% Borrowing	Up to 100% Borrowing	Up to 100% Borrowing	-				

ACTIVITY: Te Pae Tawhiti

GROUP OF ACTIVITIES: Partnerships with Māori

ACTIVITY ANALYSIS	ACTIVITY ANALYSIS											
Description		Distribution of benefits		Period of Benefit	Exacerbators	Separate Funding						
Describe key parts of the activity: Note any sub activities that may require separate funding	Who benefits:Whole communityIdentifiable partIndividuals	Who are they: • Location • Land use • Specific users	How much do they benefit: High, medium, low How is benefit / access distributed: Evenly vs variably (on what basis)	When do the benefits occur (vs investment): • Short term (same year) • Recurring (ongoing every year) • Long term (later years)	 Who else has created the need: Who Action / inaction Can they be charged Will it change their behaviour 	Should the activity be funded separately: Cost / benefit Transparency Accountability						
Support and strengthen Māori capacity/capability to meet key statutory obligations under the Local Government Act (LGA), Resource Management Act (RMA), and other relevant legislation	Whole community: Yes Identifiable part: No Individuals: No	Everyone in the region -	High -	Recurring	Overarching responsibilities under our key legislative frameworks (LGA, NBEA (and RMA)) to recognise and provide for the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi	No reason to fund separately						

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES										
			Suitable Operating Expenditure Funding Sources by Outcome and Goal							
	Community Outcomes and Goals the Activity contributes to			General Funds		Other				
Community Outcomes and				l Rates	Interests and dividends from	Targete	ed Rates	Fees and Charges	Grants and Subsidies	
				UAGC	investment	Targeted on LV/CV	Flat Targeted		Subsidies	
Te Ara Poutama	Partner with Māori to enhance delive	ry and share decision making	Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Possible	
Te Ara Poutama	Supporting Māori capacity and capab	ility building to empower proactive relationships	Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Possible	
Te Ara Poutama Supporting enhanced Māori participation in operational activities, and progressing new opportunities where they arise within existing operations			Possible	Possible	Possible	Possible	Possible	Possible	Possible	
Activity funding needs analysis indicator General Funds										

FUNDING POLICY	FUNDING POLICY									
		2024-34 draft LTP Funding Sources (average over 10 years)	2024-34 draft Policy settings range	2021-2031 LTP Policy settings range	Reason for change					
Operating Expenditure	Te Pae Tawhiti	100% General Funds	Up to 100% General Funds	n/a	New activity					
Capital Expenditure	Te Pae Tawhiti	100% Borrowing	Up to 100% Borrowing	n/a	New activity					

ACTIVITY: Rivers and Drainage Schemes

GROUP OF ACTIVITIES: Flood Protection and Control

ACTIVITY ANALYSIS							
Description	Distribution of benefits			Period of Benefit	Exacerbators	Separate Funding	
Describe key parts of the activity: Note any sub activities that may require separate funding	Who benefits:Whole communityIdentifiable partIndividuals	Who are they: • Location • Land use • Specific users	How much do they benefit: • High, medium, low How is benefit / access distributed: • Evenly vs variably (on what basis)	When do the benefits occur (vs investment): • Short term (same year) • Recurring (ongoing every year) • Long term (later years)	 Who else has created the need: Who Action / inaction Can they be charged Will it change their behaviour 	Should the activity be funded separately: Cost / benefit Transparency Accountability	
Protection of communities from river flooding through the provision of flood protection and drainage infrastructure	Whole community: Yes	Everyone in the region	Medium	Long term	Human activities have helped cause climate change which	No reason to fund separately	
Administration of the Flood Protection and Drainage Bylaws and alignment	Identifiable part: Yes	Wider community	Medium	Long term	has increased the frequency of extreme weather events		
with new regulations (NPS Freshwater) and improved environmental outcomes i.e. transitioning to a 'Making Room for the Rivers' and 'Nature-based Solutions' approach to river management.	Individuals: Yes	Property owners	High	Long term	and high rainfall		

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES												
	Community Outcomes and Goals the Activity contributes to			Suitable Operating Expenditure Funding Sources by Outcome and Goal								
				General Funds		Other						
Community Outcomes and G				General Rates		Targeted Rates		Fees and	Grants and Subsidies			
			General Rates LV/CV	UAGC	dividends from investment	Targeted on LV/CV	Flat Targeted	Charges	Subsidies			
Future Ready Communities	Communities are aware of and prepa	red for the impact of natural hazards and climate change	Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Likely			
Sustainable Development	Development Regional infrastructure is resilient, efficient and integrated			Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Likely			
Connected Communities Communities are connected through an effective transport system, land use and urban design that improves wellbeing, liveability and environmental outcomes			Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely			
Activity funding needs analysis indicator Differentiated Targeted Rates, General Funds												

FUNDING POLICY					
		2024-34 draft LTP Funding Sources (average over 10 years)	2024-34 draft Policy settings range	2021-2031 LTP Policy settings range	Reason for change
	Rivers Schemes & Minor Rivers Schemes (Ōpōtiki)	77% Differentiated Targeted Rate 20% General Funds 3% Reserves	60% - 80% Differentiated Targeted Rate 0% - 20% General Funds 0-20% Reserves	60% - 80% Differentiated Targeted Rate 0% - 20% General Funds	-
Operating Expenditure	Minor Rivers Schemes (excluding Ōpōtiki) Rangitāiki Drainage Scheme Minor Drainage Schemes	91% Differentiated Targeted Rates 9% Reserves	100% Differentiated Targeted Rates 0-20% Reserves	100% Differentiated Targeted Rates	-
	Non Rivers scheme works	100% General Funds	100% General Funds		
Capital Expenditure	Rivers & Drainage Schemes	100% Borrowing	Up to 100% Borrowing, reserves, grants and subsidies	Up to 100% Borrowing, reserves, grants and subsidies	-

ACTIVITY: Flood Protection and Control

GROUP OF ACTIVITIES: Flood Protection and Control

ACTIVITY ANALYSIS						
Description		Distribution of benefits			Exacerbators	Separate Funding
Describe key parts of the activity: Note any sub activities that may require separate funding	Who benefits:Whole communityIdentifiable partIndividuals	Who are they:LocationLand useSpecific users	How much do they benefit: High, medium, low How is benefit / access distributed: Evenly vs variably (on what basis)	When do the benefits occur (vs investment): • Short term (same year) • Recurring (ongoing every year) • Long term (later years)	 Who else has created the need: Who Action / inaction Can they be charged Will it change their behaviour 	Should the activity be funded separately: Cost / benefit Transparency Accountability
Protection of people, property, and livelihoods from flooding through our Rivers and Drainage schemes as well as our flood risk coordination activity.	Whole community: Yes Identifiable part: No	Everyone in the region	High	Short term	Human activities have helped cause climate change which has increased the frequency of extreme weather events and high	No reason to fund separately
Carrying out flood forecasting, floodplain monitoring, and river and engineering surveys to support the development of floodplain management strategies.	Individuals: No	-	-	-	rainfall	

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES									
				penditure Funding S	ources by Outcome and	Goal			
				General Funds		Other			
Community Outcomes and G	oals the Activity contributes to		General	Rates	Interests and dividends from	Targete	ed Rates	Fees and	Grants and
				UAGC	investment	Targeted on LV/CV	Flat Targeted	Charges	Subsidies
Future Ready Communities	Communities are aware of and prepa	red for the impact of natural hazards and climate change	Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Likely
Sustainable Development	Regional infrastructure is resilient, eff	icient and integrated	Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Likely
Future Ready Communities We seek to provide nature based solutions as appropriate to enhance the environment and protect our communities			Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Likely
Activity funding needs analysis indicator		General Funds							

FUNDING POLICY								
		2024-34 draft LTP Funding Sources (average over 10 years)	2024-34 draft Policy settings range	2021-2031 LTP Policy settings range	Reason for change			
Operating Expenditure	Flood Protection and Control	100% General Funds	Up to 100% General Funds	Up to 100% General Funds	-			
Capital Expenditure	Flood Protection and Control	100% Borrowing	Up to 100% Borrowing	Up to 100% Borrowing	-			

ACTIVITY: Data Management

GROUP OF ACTIVITIES: Support and Technical Services

ACTIVITY ANALYSIS						
Description	Distribution of benefits			Period of Benefit	Exacerbators	Separate Funding
Describe key parts of the activity: Note any sub activities that may require separate funding	Who benefits:Whole communityIdentifiable partIndividuals	Who are they: • Location • Land use • Specific users	How much do they benefit: High, medium, low How is benefit / access distributed: Evenly vs variably (on what basis)	When do the benefits occur (vs investment): • Short term (same year) • Recurring (ongoing every year) • Long term (later years)	 Who else has created the need: Who Action / inaction Can they be charged Will it change their behaviour 	Should the activity be funded separately: Cost / benefit Transparency Accountability
Provision of accessible, relevant and trusted engineering, environmental and regulatory data delivered directly to the community and as	Whole community: Yes Identifiable part: No	Everyone in the region	Medium -	Recurring -	None	Yes – consent applicants should be allocated their consent costs
support to other Council activities. Provides technical reviews required for resource consents and responding to flood level information requests.	Individuals: Yes	Consent applicants	High	Short term		
The activity also includes an operational IANZ laboratory supporting both Regional and Territorial Councils						

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES										
				penditure Funding S	ources by Outcome and	l Goal				
				General Funds			Othe	er		
Community Outcomes and G	oals the Activity contributes to		General Rates		Interests and dividends from	Targete	ed Rates	Fees and	Grants and Subsidies	
			General Rates LV/CV	UAGC	investment	Targeted on LV/CV	Flat Targeted	Charges	Subsidies	
Sustainable Development We support development and growth that takes into account the four Well-beings of people and communities the need to maintain and enhance the quality of the environment and the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations			Likely	Likely	Likely	Possible	Possible	Unlikely	Possible	
Activity funding needs analysis indicator Fees and charges for consent applicants and holders General Funds						,				

FUNDING POLICY	FUNDING POLICY									
		2024-34 draft LTP Funding Sources (average over 10 years)	2024-34 draft Policy settings range	2021-2031 LTP Policy settings range	Reason for change					
Operating Expenditure	Data Management	19% Fees and Charges 81% General Funds	0% - 20% Fees and Charges 20% - 100% General Funds	0% - 20% Fees and Charges 80% - 100% General Funds						
Capital Expenditure	Data Management	100% Borrowing	Up to 100% Borrowing, reserves	100% Borrowing, reserves	-					

ACTIVITY: Science GROUP OF ACTIVITIES: Support and Technical Services

ACTIVITY ANALYSIS						
Description		Distribution of benefits		Period of Benefit	Exacerbators	Separate Funding
Describe key parts of the activity: Note any sub activities that may require separate funding	Who benefits:Whole communityIdentifiable partIndividuals	Who are they:LocationLand useSpecific users	How much do they benefit: High, medium, low How is benefit / access distributed: Evenly vs variably (on what basis)	When do the benefits occur (vs investment): • Short term (same year) • Recurring (ongoing every year) • Long term (later years)	 Who else has created the need: Who Action / inaction Can they be charged Will it change their behaviour 	Should the activity be funded separately: Cost / benefit Transparency Accountability
Provision of timely and high-quality science advice, co-ordinate and enable science direction, and use sound scientific tools and methods	Whole community: Yes Identifiable part: Yes Individuals: Yes	Everyone in the region Local Groups Consent applicants and holders	High Low Low	Recurring Recurring Short term	Central Government monitoring requirements	Yes – consent applicants should be allocated their consent costs

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES											
				penditure Funding S	ources by Outcome and	l Goal					
				General Funds			Othe	er			
Community Outcomes and O	Goals the Activity contributes to		Genera	Rates	Interests and	Targete	ed Rates	Fees and	Grants and		
				UAGC	dividends from investment	Targeted on LV/CV	Flat Targeted	Charges	Subsidies		
Healthy Environment	We use evidence-based decision mak mātauranga Māori	ring - all work is informed by the best available science and	Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Possible	Likely		
Healthy Environment	The region's diverse range of physica	l environments and natural ecosystems are in a healthy state	Likely	Likely	Likely	Possible	Possible	Unlikely	Likely		
Te Ara Poutama Supporting enhanced Māori participation in operational activities, and progressing new opportunities where they arise within existing operations			Possible	Possible	Possible	Possible	Possible	Possible	Possible		
Activity funding needs anal	ysis indicator	Fees and charges for consent applicants and holders General Funds									

FUNDING POLICY									
		2024-34 draft LTP Funding Sources (average over 10 years)	2024-34 draft Policy settings range	2021-2031 LTP Policy settings range	Reason for change				
Operating Expenditure	Science	25% Fees and Charges 75% General Funds	0% - 40% Fees and Charges 60% - 100% General Funds	0% - 20% Fees and Charges 80% - 100% General Funds	Range expansion to allow for an increase in fees and charges				
Capital Expenditure	Science	100% Borrowing	Up to 100% Borrowing, reserves	100% Borrowing, reserves	-				

ACTIVITY: Corporate GROUP OF ACTIVITIES: Support and Technical Services

ACTIVITY ANALYSIS						
Description		Distribution of benefits			Exacerbators	Separate Funding
Describe key parts of the activity: Note any sub activities that may require separate funding	Who benefits:Whole communityIdentifiable partIndividuals	Who are they:LocationLand useSpecific users	How much do they benefit: High, medium, low How is benefit / access distributed: Evenly vs variably (on what basis)	When do the benefits occur (vs investment): • Short term (same year) • Recurring (ongoing every year) • Long term (later years)	 Who else has created the need: Who Action / inaction Can they be charged Will it change their behaviour 	Should the activity be funded separately: Cost / benefit Transparency Accountability
The Corporate activity provides support services to enable delivery of all Regional Council activities. Includes - Communications, People & Capability, Internal Services, Facilities, Digital Services, Risk and assurance, Commercial and Legal, Rates Collection, Finance and Treasury	Whole community: Yes Identifiable part: No Individuals: No	Everyone in the region	High	Recurring	Legislative changes affecting corporate services (e.g. financial planning and reporting, health and safety, employee relations and wellbeing, information management)	

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES	COMMUNITY OUTCOMES											
				penditure Funding	Sources by Outcome and	l Goal						
				General Funds		Other						
Community Outcomes and G	Community Outcomes and Goals the Activity contributes to			General Rates		Targeted Rates		Fees and	Grants and Subsidies			
			General Rates LV/CV	UAGC	dividends from investment	Targeted on LV/CV	Flat Targeted	- Charges	Subsidies			
All outcomes The Corporate activity provides support services to enable delivery of all Regional Council activities, thereby contributing indirectly to all community outcomes												
Activity funding needs analysis indicator Internal overhead recoveries (i.e. reflecting the distribution)		n of the public-facing activit	ties)									

FUNDING POLICY									
		2024-34 draft LTP Funding Sources (average over 10 years)	2024-34 draft Policy settings range	2021-2031 LTP Policy settings range	Reason for change				
Operating Expenditure	Corporate	7% General Funds 93% Internal recoveries	0-20% General Funds 80-100% Internal recoveries	100% Internal recoveries					
Capital Expenditure	Corporate	100% Borrowing	Up to 100% Borrowing, reserves	100% Borrowing, reserves	-				

ACTIVITY: Collaboration and Shared Services

GROUP OF ACTIVITIES: Support and Technical Services

ACTIVITY ANALYSIS									
Description	Distribution of benefits			Period of Benefit	Exacerbators	Separate Funding			
Describe key parts of the activity: Note any sub activities that may require separate funding	Who benefits:Whole communityIdentifiable partIndividuals	Who are they: • Location • Land use • Specific users	How much do they benefit: High, medium, low How is benefit / access distributed: Evenly vs variably (on what basis)	When do the benefits occur (vs investment): • Short term (same year) • Recurring (ongoing every year) • Long term (later years)	 Who else has created the need: Who Action / inaction Can they be charged Will it change their behaviour 	Should the activity be funded separately: Cost / benefit Transparency Accountability			
Savings resulting from efficiency and effectiveness in service delivery, for example through regional collaboration, shared services and innovation.	Whole community: Yes Identifiable part: No Individuals: No	Everyone in the region – Yes	High	Recurring					

COMMUNITY OUTCOMES										
			Suitable Operating Expenditure Funding Sources by Outcome and Goal							
		General Funds			Other					
Community Outcomes and Goals the Activity contributes to			General	ral Rates Interests and dividends from		Targeted Rates		Fees and	Grants and Subsidies	
		General Rates LV/CV	UAGC	investment	Targeted on LV/CV	Flat Targeted	- Charges	Jubsidies		
All outcomes potentially	Depends on collaboration opportunities identified		Likely	Likely	Likely	Possible	Possible	Unlikely	Possible	
Activity funding needs analysis indicator Internal overheads or expenditure		Internal overheads or expenditure savings; alternatively, 1009	expenditure savings; alternatively, 100% General Funds							

FUNDING POLICY							
		2024-34 draft LTP Funding Sources (average over 10 years)	2024-34 draft Policy settings range	2021-2031 LTP Policy settings range	Reason for change		
Operating Expenditure	Collaboration and Shared Services	Up to 100% General Funds Up to 100% Internal recoveries / overheads	Up to 100% General Funds Up to 100% internal recoveries / overheads	N/a	New activity		
Capital Expenditure	Collaboration and Shared Services	100% Borrowing	Up to 100% Borrowing	N/a	New activity		